Koppers - Beuzer EAST CED FILED UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT S. DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEFUTY CLERK THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CIVIL ACTION NO. S-91-767)-BEAZER EAST INC. SFUND RECORDS CTR Defendant STIPULATED AMENDMENT TO CONSENT DECREE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

WHEREAS, on February 7, 1992 this Court entered a Consent Decree (the "Decree") between the United States on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), plaintiff in this action, and Beazer East, Inc. ("Beazer"), defendant in this action, resolving the claims of EPA contained in the Complaint filed pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. section 9601 et seq by EPA against Beazer concurrently with the lodging of the Consent Decree, which claims sought to compel Beazer to perform certain remedial actions and to recover certain response costs that have been and will be incurred by the United States in response to alleged releases and threatened releases from a facility as defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C section 9601(9), known as the Koppers Superfund Site located in Oroville, California (the "Site"); and

WHEREAS, the Decree was based upon Beazer's commitment to perform the Remedial Action at the Site, which entailed implementation of the Record of Decision signed by the EPA Region IX Regional Administrator on April 4, 1990 ("ROD") as changed by an Explanation of Significant Differences issued concurrently with the Decree; and

WHEREAS, the ROD has been amended twice since entry of the Decree: first, ROD Amendment #1 was issued on August 29,1996 to change the remedy for contaminated soils and, second, ROD Amendment #2 was issued on September 23, 1999 to change the remedy for contaminated groundwater; and

WHEREAS, the ROD Amendments require the implementation of institutional controls at the Site to protect human health and the environment since hazardous substances will remain on the Site after implementation of the amended remedy; and

WHEREAS, the wood treating operation at the Site has been discontinued since entry of

the Decree; and

.7

WHEREAS, the parties to the Decree have determined that it is necessary and appropriate to make certain revisions to the Decree to reflect ROD Amendment #1, ROD Amendment #2, the requirements for institutional controls and the discontinuation of wood treatment operations at the Site and to take into account new nomenclature and cleanup standards, and those revisions are stipulated to herein:

IT IS THEREFORE STIPULATED that the Decree shall be revised in the following respects:

Page 2, paragraph comprising lines 12 through 15:

Insert after "April 4, 1990" the following: ", Explanation of Significant Differences executed on January 29, 1991, ROD Amendment #1, executed on August 29, 1996 and ROD Amendment #2, executed on September 23, 1999 (collectively, the "Amended ROD")

Page 2, paragraph beginning at line 12, shall therefore provide as follows:

WHEREAS, the decision by EPA on the remedial action to be implemented at the Site is embodied in an Operable Unit Record of Decision, executed on April 4, 1990, Explanation of Significant Differences executed on January 29, 1991, ROD Amendment #1, executed on August 29, 1996, and ROD Amendment #2, executed on September 23, 1999, (collectively the "Amended ROD") on which the State had a reasonable opportunity to review and comment.

SECTION II. PARTIES:

Paragraph II B, p. 4, first sentence, line 10: Strike "Koppers Industries, Inc. (KII)" and insert "Beazer"; p. 4, line 11 strike "and operator"; p. 4, line 11 strike "and the wood treatment plant thereon".

The first sentence of Paragraph II B shall therefore provide as follows:

Beazer is the current owner of the Property.

Paragraph II B, p. 4, fourth sentence, line 20: strike "KII" and insert "Koppers Industries, Inc. ("KII")".

The fourth sentence of Paragraph II B shall therefore provide as follows:

The Tar and Wood Products section of Koppers Company, Inc., including the Oroville Site, was sold to Koppers Industries, Inc. ("KII") on December 28, 1988.

Paragraph II C, p.5, lines 1 through 4: In line 1, strike "controls" and insert "controlled"; in line 2, strike "ongoing" and insert, after "Property", "from December 1988 through October 2002"; in line 4, strike "ongoing" and add, at the end of the sentence, "and plant closure".

Paragraph II C shall, therefore, provide as follows:

C. KII controlled access to the Property and all records and information with respect to operations on the Property from December 1988 through October 2002 and is responsible for addressing environmental compliance issues relating to such operations and plant closure.

Add a new Paragraph II D, reading as follows: "Beazer acquired the Site from KII in October of 2002, and now controls access to the Site".

Paragraph II D shall, therefore, provide as follows:

D. Beazer acquired the Site from KII in October of 2002, and now controls access to the Site.

SECTION IV. SITE BACKGROUND

ì

Paragraph IV A, pp5--6: p5, line 24, strike "includes" and before "a 200 acre" insert "was formerly the site of"; strike "operating"; p. 5, line 26, strike "has" and replace it with "had"; p.6, line 2, strike "the present" and insert "March, 2001": p.6, line 3, before period at end of sentence, insert "and eventually the facility was closed on March 15, 2001".

Paragraph IV A shall, therefore, provide as follows:

A. The Koppers Site was formerly the site of a 200-acre wood treating plant located in Butte County just south of the city limits of Oroville, California. The Property had a lumber mill and then a wood treating plant from approximately 1920 to March, 2001. Koppers Company, Inc. acquired the Property in 1955 and expanded wood treating operations. KII operated the wood treating facility from 1988 through 2001 and eventually the facility was closed on March 15, 2001.

Paragraph IV B, last sentence, p.6, lines 16-17: Insert before period at end of sentence "and wastes produced by this event, which contain hazardous substances, are buried on-site".

Paragraph IV B, last sentence, shall, therefore, provide as follows:

A second explosion and fire in the pentachlorophenol treatment process occurred in April 1987 and wastes produced by this event, which contain hazardous substances, are buried on-site in a Corrective Action Management Unit ("CAMU").

SECTION V. DEFINITIONS

Paragraph V F, p. 9, lines 26-27: strike lines 26-27 and insert "'DTSC' shall mean the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, formerly California Department of Health Services".

Paragraph V F shall, therefore, provide as follows:

DTSC shall mean the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, formerly California Department of Health Services.

Hereinafter, all original references in the Decree to "DHS" shall refer to "DTSC".

Paragraph V N, pp11-12: last sentence, p. 11, line 4, strike "contains" and insert "contained"; strike "current and insert "former".

The last sentence of paragraph V N shall, therefore, provide as follows:

These parcels contained the former KII wood-treating operations and are part of the Site.

Paragraph V P, p. 11, lines 11-18: Strike lines 11-18 and insert the following, which shall comprise revised paragraph V P:

P. "Amended Record of Decision" or "Amended ROD" shall mean the Record of Decision describing the remedial action to be conducted at the Site signed by the EPA Region IX Regional Administrator on April 4, 1990, as amended by an Explanation of Significant Differences executed on January 29, 1991, ROD Amendment #1 executed on August 29, 1996 and ROD Amendment #2 executed on September 23, 1999, attached collectively hereto as Appendix A.

Paragraph V Q, p.11, line 20, insert "Amended" before "ROD". Paragraph V Q shall, therefore provide as follows:

Q. "Remedial Action" shall mean the implementation of the Amended ROD, in accordance with Section VIII hereof (Work to be performed) and other applicable provisions of the Decree, as may be modified pursuant to the provisions of this Consent Decree, and any schedules or plans required to be submitted pursuant to the Decree.

Paragraph V B[sic], p. 12, lines 5-10: p. 12, line 5, strike "B" and insert "R(1)"; strike "Remediation Goals" and insert "Remediation Standards"; p. 12, line 8, insert "Amended" after "in the"; p. 12, line 10, insert "amended" after "in the".

Former paragraph V B[sic] shall, therefore, provide as follows:

R(1). "Remediation Standards" shall mean the level(s) of clean-up to be achieved in the groundwater, soil, sediments and surface water at the Site. These levels shall include those remedial objectives identified in the Amended ROD and those criteria established by the applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements ("ARARs") identified in the Amended ROD.

Hereinafter, all original references in the Decree to "remediation goals" shall refer to "remediation standards".

Page 12, line 21 et seq., insert the following as paragraph V V(1):

V(1). "Statement of Work" or "SOW" shall mean the Statement of Work, as amended, attached hereto as Appendix C.

SECTION VI. PURPOSE

Paragraph VI B, p.13, line 19 through p.14, line 4: strike p. 13, line 19 through p.14, line 4 and insert the following, which shall comprise revised paragraph VI B:

B. The Amended Record of Decision (Amended ROD) for this Operable Unit is comprised of the Record of Decision dated September 13, 1989; Explanation of Significant Differences dated January 29, 1991; ROD Amendment #1 dated August 29, 1996; and ROD Amendment #2 dated September 23, 1999, set forth collectively in Appendix A. In general terms, the remedial action(s) in the Amended ROD involve design, construction, operation, maintenance and monitoring of the following: on-property Corrective Action Management Units (CAMUs) for placement of removed on-property soil to achieve Remediation Standards; remediation of impacted soils as defined in the Amended ROD, including soils beneath the capped portion of the process area; on- and off-property groundwater remediation through pump and treat (P&T) and in situ bioremediation (excluding any on-

19[°]

property Technical Impracticability Waiver remaining in effect) and the implementation of institutional controls to restrict use of portions of the Site to industrial purposes and to restrict activities relating to use and/or disturbance of soil and groundwater at and adjacent to the Site.

SECTION VIII. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

P. 14, line 18 through p. 31, line 18: strike p. 14, line 18 through p. 31, line 18 and insert the following, which shall comprise the revised Section VIII in full:

VIII. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

A. General Obligations Regarding the Remedial Action

- 1. Defendant shall not conduct any response activities at the Site with respect to the releases addressed by the Amended ROD except: (1) activities specifically authorized under this Section VIII (Work To Be Performed); or (2) activities required by and in furtherance of the work under this Decree; or (3) activities otherwise specifically authorized, in writing, by EPA.
- 2. Notwithstanding any approvals which may be granted by the United States or other governmental entities, Defendant shall assume any and all liability of the United States arising from or relating to Defendant's acts or omissions or the acts or omissions of any of Defendant's contractors, subcontractors, or any other person acting on Defendant's behalf in the performance of the Remedial Action or Defendant's failure to perform fully or complete the Remedial Action. Nothing in this section shall make Defendant liable for that portion of any negligent act or omission attributed to the United States or other governmental entities or its employees, agents or contractors.

7

1

2

3

12

13

15

16 17

19

18

20 21

23.

22

24 25

26 27

- 3. Defendant shall appoint a representative ("Project Coordinator") designated by it to act on its behalf to execute the Remedial Action, in accordance with Section XIII (Project Coordinator).
- 4. Defendant shall finance and perform the Remedial Action for the Site in accordance with this Decree and any modifications thereto, including the Statement of Work ("SOW") attached hereto as Appendix C, and in a manner consistent with the Amended ROD, attached hereto as Appendix A. The SOW and the Amended ROD are hereby incorporated by reference and made a part of this Decree. The Remedial Action shall be performed in accordance with all the provisions of this Decree, or any modifications thereto, and all design specifications, work plans or other plans or schedules approved by EPA.
- 5. Defendant shall select a contractor (or contractors) to supervise the Remedial Action that has professional engineering expertise in supervising the investigation design, and remediation of hazardous waste problems. All Remedial Action work performed pursuant to this Decree shall be under the direction of such a contractor. Within ten (10) days of selecting a supervising contractor for any work pursuant to this Decree, Defendant shall notify EPA in writing of the name, title and qualifications of the supervising contractor proposed to be used in carrying out work under this Decree. Selection of such contractor shall be subject to EPA approval. If EPA disapproves of the selection of any supervising contractor, EPA shall notify Defendant in writing and indicate the reason for disapproval. Defendant shall thereafter propose another contractor in the manner set forth above to EPA within twenty-one (21) days of disapproval of the supervising contractor previously selected. If at any time thereafter Defendant proposes to change supervising contractors, Defendant shall give written notice to EPA and shall obtain approval from EPA before the new supervising contractor performs any work under this Decree.

6. Defendant shall be liable to EPA for any acts or omissions of any of Defendant's contractors, subcontractors or anyone acting on Defendant's behalf in the performance of the Remedial Action, or Defendant's failure to perform fully or complete the Remedial Action, which constitute a violation of this Decree; provided, however, that Defendant shall not be liable to EPA with respect to that portion of any negligent act or omission attributed to EPA or its employees, agents or contractors.

7. With the March 15, 2001 closure of the KII wood treating facility, the remaining portion of Soil Unit S3, also referenced in remediation documents as the Process Area or Area 8C, became available for remediation. Defendant submitted and implemented a schedule for remediation of the remainder of Soil Unit S3. Defendant completed the remediation of the soils identified in the Amended ROD, including soils beneath the capped portion of the process area, by September 20, 2002.

8. Defendant shall prepare a site-wide Remedial Action Work Plan ("Work Plan") for all soil and ground water remediation tasks set out in the SOW and the Amended ROD and implement the tasks detailed in the Work Plan as approved and/or modified by EPA. The Work Plan will contain a schedule of deliverables. The fully approved Work Plan shall be deemed incorporated into and made an enforceable part of this Decree. Upon approval of the Work Plan by EPA, Defendant shall file a copy of the Work Plan with the Court to be incorporated into this Decree as Appendix D. Any noncompliance with any EPA approved reports, plans, specifications, schedules, appendices or attachments to the Work Plan shall be considered a failure to comply with this Decree and shall subject Defendant to stipulated penalties as provided in Section XX (Stipulated Penalties). All work shall be conducted consistent with the National Contingency Plan, the EPA Superfund Remedial Design and Remedial Action Guidance (OSWER Directive 9355.0-4A and any amendments thereto), and the requirements of this Decree, including the standards, specifications and schedules contained in the Work Plan.

- 9. The Parties acknowledge and agree that the Work Plan or any approvals, permits or other permissions which may be granted by EPA related to this Decree do not constitute a warranty or representation of any kind by the United States or Defendant that the Work Plan will achieve the Remediation Standards set forth in the Amended ROD, and shall not foreclose the United States from seeking performance of all terms and conditions o this Decree.
- 10. Defendant shall meet all Remediation Standards identified in the Amended ROD with respect to the Remedial Action at the Site, including those listed in Appendix B attached hereto.
- 11. The Parties acknowledge and agree that work performed in the implementation of the Remedial Action shall comply with the substantive standards of all "applicable requirements" and "relevant and appropriate requirements" as those terms are defined in 40 C.F.R Sec. 300.6, and as generally described in CERCLA Compliance with Other Environmental Statutes, October 2, 1985 (50 Fed. Reg. 47946, November 20, 1985), and as is required by Section 121 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. Section 9621.
- 12. Defendant shall dispose of any materials containing or contaminated with Site-related constituents not approved for disposal in an on-property CAMU and taken off-Property in compliance with EPA's Revised Procedures for Implementing Off-Site Response Actions ("Off-Site Policy") (EPA OSWER Directive 9384.11, November 13, 1987) and any amendments thereto.
- 13. Defendant shall submit a draft and final of all deliverables unless otherwise specified in paragraph C(2) (Deliverables) of this section. Any failure by Defendant to submit either a draft or final deliverable in compliance with the schedules in the approved Work Plan will be deemed a violation of this Decree subject to stipulated penalties.
- 14. After review of any plan, report, draft deliverable or other item which is required to be submitted for approval by EPA pursuant to this Decree, EPA will (a)

approve or modify the submission; or (b) disapprove the submission, notifying Defendant of the deficiencies and providing a statement of the reasons EPA deems any deliverable to be deficient.

15. Defendant shall, within the time specified in the Work Plan or Amendment either: (a) proceed to take any action required by the approved or modified submission; (b) correct the deficiencies as determined by EPA and resubmit the plan, report, draft or other item for approval; or (c) invoke dispute resolution under Section XXII. In the event EPA determines that there are deficiencies in the submissions, Defendant shall proceed, at the direction of EPA, to take any action required by any non-deficient portion of the submission.

16. Any failure by Defendant to revise, modify or correct a submittal as directed by EPA within the time specified in the Work Plan will be deemed a violation of this Decree. Implementation of non-deficient portions of the submission shall not relieve Defendant of its liability for stipulated penalties under Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).

17. All documents submitted to EPA for approval pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by overnight mail or some equivalent delivery service to the EPA Project Coordinator or in an electronic format if and as approved by EPA.

B. Alternative Water Supply

1. Defendant shall continue to finance the provision of an alternative water supply for those water users who have not yet met the obligation criteria specified in this paragraph. Defendant shall continue to pay to such users on an annual basis an amount equal to the average water use bill for all domestic users served by the OWID in the applicable year, and reimburse such users for any amount expended by such users in excess of the average bill for the purchase of water for domestic use (including domestic irrigation) in accordance with OWID regulations. Defendant's obligation shall cease for any such user when the concentration of pentachlorophenol in the well of such user falls below fifty (50)

7.

per cent of the Amended ROD's remedial objective for pentachlorophenol for four consecutive quarterly sampling events and an engineering assessment approved by the EPA demonstrates that reactivation of the well will not draw any remaining pentachlorophenol (PCP) at fifty (50) percent of the Amended ROD's remedial objective to the well.

- a) Potential additional areas. Defendant shall continue its monitoring of ground water on- and off-Property pursuant to an EPA-approved ground water monitoring program. If PCP is found as close to an existing unmonitored drinking water well as the distance it takes groundwater to flow in a year, the well shall be added to the monitoring program on a quarterly basis. If testing of any well shows PCP concentrations in excess of 50% of the Amended ROD's Remediation Standard for PCP, twice within a one year period, Defendant shall pay for the connection of the user of the well to the OWID. Defendant shall pay such user the amounts described above until both the concentration of PCP in the well of such user falls below fifty (50) percent of the Amended ROD's remedial objective for PCP for four consecutive quarterly sampling events; and an engineering assessment approved by the EPA demonstrates that reactivation of the well will not draw any remaining PCP at fifty (50) percent of the Amended ROD's remedial objective to the well. Defendant shall have no further obligations under this Decree with respect to an alternative water supply upon receipt by Defendant of a Certificate of Completion pursuant Section XXXVII (Certificate of Completion) of this Decree.
- 2. Defendant shall provide an alternative water supply for any wells on or offProperty that EPA determines, when used, have an adverse impact on the remediation of
 ground water containing Site-related constituents. Defendant's obligation under this
 subparagraph 2 shall not take effect for any well until the remedial activities impacted by
 such well actually commence.

C. Work To Be Undertaken

1. The work required under this Amended Consent Decree for the Amended

ROD supersedes and adds to the work contained in provision C of the original Consent Decree CIV. S-91-767 LKK dated February 6,1992. Defendant shall implement the EPA-approved Remedial Action Work Plan and any amendments described in paragraph 2(c) below, including:

- a) Achieve Remediation Standards for groundwater on- and off-Property (excluding any on-Property Technical Impracticability Waiver (TIW) remaining in effect) using pump and treat (P&T) and/or in situ bioremediation remedies as specified in ROD Amendment #2 dated September 23, 1999. The remedies shall consist of:
- i) Design, construction, operation, maintenance and monitoring of groundwater extraction, treatment and reinjection systems to restore on- and off-property groundwater to Remediation Standards. In addition to treating contaminants, the on-property P&T shall contain contaminants on-property in all layers of the aquifer. Off-Property, P&T remains a remedy option pursuant to the Amended ROD to remediate the contaminated groundwater and contain the toe of the plume off-property in all layers of the aquifer.
- ii) Design of an effective nutrient dispersal system for in situ bioremediation on- and off-property and procurement of nutrients to achieve Remediation Standards. On-Property in situ bioremediation will supplement P&T. Off-Property in situ bioremediation can be used as a stand alone remedy or as a supplement to P&T as long as the contamination off-property is contained in all layers of the aquifer.
- iii) The TIW for ARARs at the on-Property former creosote pond and former Cellon Blowdown Areas granted in ROD Amendment #2, dated September 23, 1999, requires a new monitoring well downgradient of the TI Zone in aquifer B; monitoring of the TI Zone and downgradient until EPA shall determine that it is no longer necessary for protection of human health and the environment; operation of the existing Product Recovery well (PR-1) and the PAH in situ groundwater bioremediation

well (BW-1) (or any subsequent replacement PAH treatment system) until creosote recovery is less than one gallon per year at the Product Recovery well (or its subsequent replacement system); maintenance of the on-property P&T once Remediation Standards are met outside the TI Zone as long as the TI Zone remains in effect; operation of the on-Property P&T after being placed in standby reserve if 95% of the upper confidence limit of the mean for four consecutive sampling events for a chemical of concern exceeds the ROD Standard; and implementing institutional controls in the form of a land use covenant to prevent access to groundwater, surface disturbance and the addition of new sources of surface water to groundwater in the TI Zone.

iv) Provision for implementation of Monitored Natural Attenuation (MNA) as a contingency remedy on- and off-Property if EPA determines that enhanced in situ bioremediation nutrient distribution cannot be adequately achieved; that other active restoration measures are not necessary; that conditions on- and off-Property continue to demonstrate that pentachlorophenol (PCP) degradation is occurring; and that natural attenuation is expected to achieve Remediation Standards within a reasonable time frame, all pursuant to ROD Amendment #2.

- b) The monitoring and maintenance in perpetuity of Corrective Action

 Management Units (CAMUs) on-Property and other remedies on-property to achieve

 Remediation Standards for soils consisting of:
- (i) Excavated Soil Unit contaminants on-Property to reach
 Remediation Standards were placed in on-property CAMUs conforming to the
 requirements of the ARARs contained in ROD Amendment #1, dated August 1996 or
 latest revision to applicable state and federal regulations.
- c) Institutional Controls in the form of a land use covenant will be filed and recorded with the Butte County Recorder's Office and implemented to restrict the 200 acre site to industrial use, to prevent surface water impoundments that could cause

flushing of contamination into the aquifer, to protect caps installed to protect infiltration to groundwater and ensure TI Zone restrictions. The land use covenant required by this section will be recorded on or before August 1, 2003 and shall be substantially in the form of the document attached hereto as Appendix E. Concurrently with the recording of the land use covenant required by this section, defendant will cause to be provided to EPA a current title insurance commitment or other evidence of title to the Site acceptable to EPA, which shows title to the land described in the covenant to be free and clear of all prior liens and encumbrances (except such liens and encumbrances approved by EPA or such liens and encumbrances as to which, despite best efforts, Defendant is unable to obtain release or subordination).

2. Deliverables

The following deliverables shall constitute Defendant's Work Plan for the remaining work to be performed:

- a) Final Site Closure Corrective Action Management Units Report
 Addendum: No draft, final due by January 31, 2003.
- b) Long-term groundwater Remediation Work Plan for Dri-Con/CAA Source Area: draft, March 17, 2003, final due 45 days after receipt of EPA comments on draft.
- c) Off-Property Groundwater Remediation Attainment Phase Data Quality Objectives Work Plan: draft sixty (60) days from EPA request and final thirty (30) days from EPA draft approval/comments.
- d) On-Property Groundwater Remediation Attainment Phase Data Quality Objectives Work Plan: draft sixty (60) days from EPA request and final thirty (30) days from EPA draft approval/comments.
- e) TI Zone Groundwater Monitoring Plan Work Plan Revision when On-Property Outside Technical Impracticability Zone is Remediated: draft six months

1	i) documentation of compliance with ARARs;	
2	ii) results of modeling and treatability studies as appropriate;	
3	iii) Site safety plan, including a worker health and safety plan	
4	and an emergency response plan;	
5	iv) well locations for extraction/reinjection, monitoring	
6	extraction effectiveness, injection influences, perimeter control monitoring and monitori	
7	of the vadose zone as applicable to each unit;	
8	v) selection of design criteria necessary to meet RCRA Land	
9	Disposal Restrictions for any area containing soils determined to be RCRA waste;	
10	vi)equipment setup plans; and	
1.1	vii) dust control plans.	
12	p) Prefinal Design Reports, which shall show 70% completion of the	
13	design, for each of the following: any additional CAMU beyond cells 1 and 2; any new	
14	P&T facility beyond the current 400gpm on-Property and 600gpm off-Property P&T	
15	facilities; any relocated P&T any extraction well system for soil unit S3; and any	
16	expanded phases of all soil and groundwater remedies. These Prefinal Design Reports	
17	shall include:	
18	i) construction drawings;	
19	ii) specifications;	
20	iii) schedules;	
21	iv) cost estimates;	
22	v) draft operation and maintenance plan; and	
23	vi) plan for controlling surface water runoff during RA.	
24	q) Final Design Reports for each of the following: any additional	
25	CAMU beyond cells 1 and 2; any new P&T facility beyond the current 400gpm on-	
26	Property and 600gpm off-Property facilities; any relocated P&T and any expanded	
27 -		
28	18	

phases of all soil and groundwater remedies. These Final Design Reports shall include:

- i) all revisions of and additions to the 70% design;
- ii) final construction drawings; and
- iii) final bid documents.
- r) Semiannual progress reports on the effectiveness of the treatment technologies in meeting Remediation Standards. The semiannual progress reports shall be submitted on or before the nineteenth day after the end of each six month period following the effective date of this Decree to allow for data QA/QC. No draft is required.
- s) Technical and documentation support for five year statutory review of remedy protectiveness in accordance with EPA's most recent guidance.
- 3. After review of any plan, report, draft deliverable or other item which is required to be submitted for approval by EPA pursuant to this Decree, EPA will (a) approve or modify the submission; or (b) disapprove the submission, notifying Defendant of the deficiencies and providing a statement of the reasons EPA deems any deliverable to be deficient.
- 4. Defendant shall, within the time specified in the Work Plan, either: (a) proceed to take any action required by the approved or modified submission; (b) correct the deficiencies as determined by EPA and resubmit the plan, report, draft or other item for approval; or (c) invoke dispute resolution under Section XXII. In the event EPA determines that there are deficiencies in the submissions, Defendant shall proceed, at the direction of EPA, to take any action required by any non-deficient portion of the submission.
- 5. Any failure by Defendant to revise, modify or correct deficiencies as directed by EPA within the time specified in the Work Plan will be deemed a violation of this Decree. Implementation of non-deficient portions of the submission shall not relieve Defendant of its liability for stipulated penalties under Section XX (Stipulated Penalties).

6. All documents submitted to EPA for approval pursuant to this Decree shall be sent by overnight mail or some equivalent delivery service to the EPA Project Coordinator or in an electronic format if and as approved by EPA.

SECTION XV. ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK

Paragraph XV A, p. 39, line 15, insert after "Decree", "or at such other time as agreed by EPA"; line 16, strike "or"; line 17, before period at end of sentence insert "or 4) insurance for the total estimated cost of the Remedial Action in a form and with endorsements satisfactory to EPA".

The first sentence of paragraph XV A shall, therefore, provide as follows:

Defendant shall demonstrate its ability to complete the Remedial Action and to pay all claims that arise from the performance of the Remedial Action by obtaining, and presenting to EPA for approval within 30 calendar days after the effective date of this Decree, or at such other time as agreed by EPA, one of the following items: 1) a performance bond; 2) a letter of credit; 3) a guarantee by a third party equaling the total estimated cost of the Remedial Action or 4) insurance for the total estimated cost of the Remedial Action in a form and with endorsements satisfactory to EPA.

Paragraph XV A, p. 40, line 2, strike "three".

The last sentence of paragraph XV A shall, therefore, provide as follows:

If at any time EPA determines the assurances to be inadequate, Defendant shall obtain one of the other financial instruments listed above within thirty (30) days of such EPA determination.

SECTION XIX. REIMBURSEMENT OF UNITED STATES' COSTS

Paragraph XIX A, p. 45, lines 21-22, strike "after March 31, 1988 through and including September 30, 1990" and insert "after September 30, 1990". Add at the end of this sentence a new sentence: "Amounts paid to the United States under this Consent Decree shall be deposited into the Koppers Company, Inc. Special Account and shall be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site or transferred by EPA to the Hazardous Substance Superfund."

The first two sentences of paragraph XIX A shall, therefore, provide as follows:

Defendant agrees pursuant to this Consent Decree to reimburse the Hazardous Substance Superfund for all Response and Oversight costs incurred by the United States, including but not limited to EPA and the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ"), with respect to the Site after September 30, 1990, not inconsistent with the NCP, within thirty (30) days of EPA's demand and documentation for such costs. Amounts paid to the United States under this Consent Decree shall be deposited into the Koppers Company, Inc. Special Account and shall be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Site or transferred by EPA to the Hazardous Substance Superfund.

Paragraph XIX C (1), p. 47, line 14, strike "CDMS data" and insert "Official Cost Summary"; XIX C (2), p. 47, line 27, strike "CDMS data" and insert "Official Cost Summary".

The first clause of the first sentence of paragraph XIX C(1) shall, therefore, provide as follows:

For past costs described in paragraph A above, EPA will furnish Defendant with EPA's Official Cost Summary,

The first clause of the first sentence of paragraph XIX C(2) shall, therefore, provide as follows:

For ongoing response and oversight costs described in paragraph B above,

EPA will furnish Defendant with EPA's Official Cost Summary

SECTION XX. STIPULATED PENALTIES

Paragraph XX F, p. 52, line 13 through p. 53, line 23: strike p. 52, line 13 through p. 53, line 23 and insert the following, which shall comprise revised paragraph XX F:

F. Defendant shall pay the following stipulated penalties for each failure to comply with the requirements of this Decree:

1. Class I Requirements

For late or otherwise noncomplying submission of an Annual Submission of Financial Assurance, Annual Alternative Water Supply Payments Report, Well Owner Notification of Alternative Water Supply Subsidy Termination Letter, Annual Remedial Action Groundwater Monitoring Report, Semi-Annual Remedial Action Groundwater Monitoring Report, Final Site Closure Corrective Action Management Units Report Addendum, Annual review and five year update of QA/QC documents, five year remedy review technical and documentation support and Monthly Status Report: Five Hundred Dollars (\$500) per day.

2. Class II Requirements

For late or otherwise noncomplying submission of any deliverable other than the deliverables described under subparagraph F(l) of this Section or for any other failure to comply with the requirements of this Decree:

Period of Failure to Comply	Penalty per Violation per Day
lst through 14 th day	\$2,000
15th through 30 th day	\$5,000
31st day and beyond	\$12,000

G. The amount of stipulated penalties due for each violation under this Section is not subject to dispute resolution under Section XXII (Dispute Resolution).

SECTION XXIII. FORM OF NOTICE

Section XXIII, p. 60, line 16 through p. 61, line 19: strike p. 60, line 16 through p. 61, line 19 and insert the following, which shall comprise the notification entities for EPA, Defendant, and the State of California:

As to EPA:

Charles Berrey

EPA Project Coordinator

N. CA Cleanup Section (SFD 7-2)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

James Collins

Assistant Regional Counsel (ORC-3)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, CA 94105

As to Defendant:

Michael Tischuk

Technical Resources Manager

Beazer East, Inc.

27

26

One Oxford Centre, Suite 3000 Pittsburgh, PA 15219-6401 **General Counsel** Beazer East, Inc. c/o Three Rivers Management, Inc. One Oxford Centre, Suite 3000 Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Jennifer Abrahams Feather River Project Manager GeoTrans, Inc. 3035 Prospect Park Dr., Suite 40 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670 As to State of California: **Edward Cargile** California Environmental Protection Agency Region 1 Site Mitigation Branch 8800 Cal Center Drive

Sacramento, CA 95826-3200 Philip Woodward California Regional Water Quality Control Board Central Valley Region 415 Knollcrest Drive, Suite 100 Redding, CA 96002 SECTION XXIV. MODIFICATION p. 62, lines 7 through 13: after "NCP" in line 7 strike remaining text through line 13 and insert period (".") The last sentence of Section XXIV shall, therefore, provide as follows: In addition, nothing herein shall be deemed to limit EPA's authority to modify the ROD in accordance with CERCLA and the NCP. Appendices: Delete Appendix A and substitute Appendix A as amended, attached hereto. Appendix B: Change background level for Barium, from 680 to 1000 Change background level for Pentachlorophenol from 2.2 to 1.0

Delete Appendix C and substitute Appendix C as amended, attached hereto.

Add new Appendix E, attached hereto.

Environment and Natural Resources Div.
United States Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20044

MA

DATE:
United States Attorney

Director, Superfund Division

 $\cdot 23$

24

25

26

-27

28

DATE: 6-24-03

.

1	SIGNED and ENTERED this Coday of 2000	
2		
3		
4	100 Touton	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
6		
7		
8	By the signatures below the Parties hereby agree to the foregoing Amended	
9	Consent Decree:	
10		
11	FOR DEFENDANT BEAZER EAST, INC.	
12		
13	ROBERT S. MARKWELL DATE: 5/19/03	
14		
15	Vice President and General Manager, Program Services	
16	Agent for Service of Process: Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel Beazer East, Inc.	
17	One Oxford Centre, Suite 3000 Pittsburgh, PA 15219	
18	FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
19	FOR FLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
20	VII 0 01 - 100 DITT (18/03	
21	Assistant Attorney General (Achins) DATE: 6/18/03	
22	Environment and Natural Resources Div. United States Department of Justice	
23	Washington, D.C. 20044	
24	∴ A DATE: DATE:	
25	United States Attorney	

DATE:

Director, Superfund Division

Environmental Protection Agency Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105

Environment & Natural Resources Div. U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611

Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044

DATE: 6-10-03

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an employee in the Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of California and is a person of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers. That she served a copy of

STIPULATED AMENDMENT TO CONSENT DECREE

AND (PROPOSED) ORDER

in the case captioned <u>United States of America v. Beazer East,</u>

<u>Inc.</u>, NO. S 91-767 by placing said copy in a postpaid envelope

addressed to the person(s) hereinafter named, at the place(s) and

address(es) stated below, which is/are the last known

address(es), and by depositing said envelope and its contents in

the United States Mail at Sacramento, California;

Addressee(s):

William F. Giarla One Oxford Center Suite 3000 Pittsburgh, PA 15219-6401

Dated: September 17, 2003

Chal Brown

Carol Brown Legal Assistant